

L PH Dept Lett

9/7

2/3 1737 PH Dept
25.5.

Licence Mangalore Municipality
Caster roasting Cardet Corporation
permission. under passed

En. 46561 F_{1/2} 5/11/16

Fraser & Neave Corporation,

Mangalore port 28.5.57

License: Mangalore Municipality -

License for roasting ~~confection~~

confection roasting machine.

Phone: 46561 F_{1/2} - 1 P. 11. 6. 57 -

Referred to S. S. S. S. S.

Mangalore Municipality for very early

remarks also to D. P. H.

by V. S. S.

Dependent

7/11/17

16/6

The S. S. S. S. S.

Mangalore

with application

(copy of policy and

2/10/16 original

5/11/17

L. Dis. 9978-47.

Municipal Office, Mangalore,
Dated the 11th July 1947

From

Sri M. Narayana Shenoy, B.A.B.L.,
Commissioner, Mangalore Municipality.
Mangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras,

Through

The Director of Public Health,
Madras,

and

The Municipal Health Officer,
Mangalore.

Subject:- Licence - Cashew roasting - Cardol Corporation - Memorandum No. 46561-12/47-1-P.H. dated the 11th June 1947.

The cardol Corporation factory is situated in the new extensions added to the municipality in 1943. There was cashew factory at the place even prior to the area being added to the municipality. It is seen that licence for the roasting of raw cashew was granted for 1944-45, subject to the condition that open roasting in pans over fire should not be done.

On 3rd February 1947, the Corporation applied for licence to instal a machine for roasting cashew-nuts and they applied for licence for 1947-48. In his proceedings No. 1994/47 dated the 12th February 1947 (copy enclosed) the Municipal Health Officer refused licence in view of resolution No. 73 dated the 29th November 1935 (copy enclosed) and also because there was one residential building within the prescribed distance of 200 yards referred to G.O. No. MS 749 P.H. dated the 24th February 1938. The party has appealed to the council for following reasons.

(1) The resolution was passed with the main idea of prohibiting large scale roasting of cashewnuts within the municipal limits by the open pan roasting process, which was the only roasting method prevalent at that time. The open pan roasting gives rise to too much of fumes and smoke, which is a great nuisance to the people dwelling nearby.

(2) But now the open pan roasting is mostly replaced by roasting in cylindrical drums and also by oil extracting machines. In these processes, the smoke is let out by proper chimneys so that it is not troublesome to the neighbours. When new and better methods are coming into practice, the restriction imposed on cashew roasting by the above Council resolution may be relaxed.

(3) Taking our own case, we want to instal one Dr. Roaster in our Derebail Cashew Factory for roasting cashewnuts. Recently, we have also built a new screw type cashew oil extracting machine for the roasting of the nuts. The pilot plant is working satisfactorily and we want to get fabricated a similar machine for large scale production.

(4) Our Derebail Cashew Factory was situated within the municipal limits only during 1943-44.

(5) In fact, we had procured a licence for oil roasting cashewnuts in our Derebail factory during 1944-45. But, as the method we adopted proved to be a failure, we had to discontinue the same. Now we have evolved a new machine which has been successful. We have applied for the roasting licence for the

refused to us. If licence is not granted to us, we will put to heavy loss and hardship.

In consideration of the above facts, we request you to modify the same above council resolution in view of the newer methods of cashew roasting. This is necessary for the welfare of the cashew industry, one of the important industries of this District. We hope the needful will be done in this matter, as early as possible and necessary licence granted to us for roasting cashewnuts in our Derebail factory.

The appeal will be placed before the council for its decision after it is constituted. The party explained the matter further in his letter dated the 21st May 1947 to the Health Officer thus:

The only near house to this spot is marked "B" in the sketch which is 310 feet away; and the other houses are beyond 250 yards.

In this connexion, we would like to bring to your notice the following two important points -

(1) We wish to install a Roasting Machine for the roasting of cashew nuts, and hence there will not be any smoke nuisance.

(2) And, moreover, the proposed roasting place is about 60 feet higher than the outside road level. This is a special advantage regarding the smoke disposal.

It appears to me that the council in its resolution No. 73 dated the 29th November 1935 wanted to prohibit only open frying on factory scale and that the other restrictions referred to in the resolution should be considered to apply only to licensable frying on domestic scale. I do not think it was ever the intention of the council, as judged by the resolution, to stifle frying by any method and therefore to stifle cashew industry in the town provided the nuisance from smoke was avoided and the site was otherwise objectionable. And this interpretation receives confirmation from the fact that even today frying on factory scale is going on in the Peirce Leslie & Co not very far from the municipal office. But what the intention of the council was and how the appeal should be disposed of could be decided only by the council after it is formed. And whether apart from the resolution the site is otherwise objectionable for roasting operations could be decided especially having regard to the fact that the factory is not a new one. The appellant has a new type roasting machine but whether this would obviate smoke nuisance has also to be gone into. The Industrial Department may perhaps be consulted in the matter. The party was asked by the Health Officer in his letter dated the 29th May 1947 to get a certificate from the Industrial Department that roasting machine was a satisfactory one, but nothing is done. The factory is on a raised level and if a satisfactory chimney could be put up the existence of a solitary residence within 200 yards distance may perhaps be overlooked.

The Hon'ble Minister for Public Health, has inspected the factory.

The copies enclosed with the Memorandum of Government are resubmitted.

Munir Zia
Commissioner 4.7.47

Copy to the Secretary to the Government.

2. Dis.No. 1994/47.

Proceedings of the Municipal Health Officer,
Mangalore, dated 12th February 1947.

Dr. K. Ramachandra Rao, L.M. & S., B.S.Sc.,

=====

Subject:- Licence - roasting cashewnuts by machine - R.S.No. 6, 8, 9, and 1 of Bolur village (Lerebail) 1947-48 - Refusal orders passed.

Read application dated the 3rd February 1947 from the Partner Cardop Corporation, Mangalore.

2. Report from Sanitary Inspector VIII Circle dated the 10th February 1947.

Order:-

Licence prayed for is refused under section 249
(3) of Madras Dt. Municipalities Act, 1920 on the following grounds:-

1. Roasting cashewnuts on a Factory scale is prohibited within municipal limits as per council resolution No. 73 dated the 29th Novr. 1935.

2. There is a residential building within a distance of two hundred yards from the above proposed roasting premises. As per G.O. No. 749 Education and Public Health dated the 24th February 1938 cashewnut factories should not be allowed within 200 yards of dwelling houses.

sd: K. Ramachandra Rao

Municipal Health Officer 12-2-47

To the Partner - The Cardop Corporation thro Sanitary Inspector VIII
Copy to S.I. VIII for service and report and copy to Office notice Bd.

(True copy)

10/2/47

M. S. Rao
11/7/47
Commissioner

Extract from the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal
Council Mangalore dated the 29th November 1935.

=====

X

Resolution No. 73. Read resolution of Councillor Mr. K. R. Karant moved at the
meeting of the council held on the 30th Sept. 1935 and the statement showing
the information furnished by the municipal Health Officer in regard to the
number of pans working in the town, number of ~~war~~ houses within a radius of
50 yards from each pan.

The council resolves that the licences for cashew frying
within the municipal limits be controlled and regulated as under :-

- (a) That frying on a factory scale be not licensed at all;
- (b) that licence be granted for frying as cottage industry
consisting of not more than one pan worked by one individual provided
(1) that there is no residential house other than the house
occupied solely by the roaster and his family within 50 yards from the place of
frying,
(2) that the Health Officer is of opinion that its location
will not be a nuisance to the neighbours and that
(3) a condition is inserted in the licence that the
Commissioner shall be entitled to cancel the licence at any time during the
year of the licence, if in the opinion of the Health Officer the frying has
become a nuisance.

X

X

(True copy)

X


M. S. M. S.
Commissioner

compd. *B. M. S.*

L.Dis.86724-1/Hyg 47

Office of the Director of Public Health,
Madras. Dated 18-1-1948


Forwarded.



I saw the working of the pilot plant already installed. The oil fumes are burnt before they are discharged through the chimney. Moreover, the site for the proposed cashewnut roaster is about 18 feet above the surrounding area. The granting of licence is recommended subject to the following conditions.

Oil fumes should be burnt completely before they are discharged through the chimney

- (b) If at any time the working of the roaster is found to be a nuisance to the neighbourhood on account of dense smoke discharged from chimney, containing incompletely burnt oil fumes, the licence will be cancelled.


DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH, MADRAS

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, MADRAS

sm.21/1

an
21.1.48

From
Rao Bahadur, Dr.R.M.

C No 46561 Fz
From the Proprietor, the Gardol Corporation
Ltd, Mangalore, pm dated 28.5.47
The Commissioner, Mangalore Mpy Ldis 9979-4
The D.P. Ldis no 86724-1-Hyg. 47 dated 18-1-48

21/9-2
Licence: Mangalore Municipality. cashew
roasting by Gardol Corporation Ltd.

Memo: L 6561 Fz - LPH d 7.2.48

The Commissioner, Mangalore

Municipality is requested to ~~forward~~ ^{perish}
urgently ~~reply~~ ^{information} on the following points:-

p2nf (1) whether the application made by the
Gardol Corporation for licence for 1947-48
is for roasting cashewnuts with their
existing machinery;

th (2) whether the firm wants to instal a
roaster in addition to the existing plants;

th (3) whether the new Council which has
already come into existence has decided
the appeal filed by the firm against

refusal of licence by the M.H.C for the year 47-48,
and (4) whether the firm has applied to the
Council for permission under section 250 of the M.M.A.C.
and if so what has become of it.

2. A copy of the remarks of the D.P.H.
read with his letter cited above is forwarded p216.
to the Commissioner for the Council's
information.

kn
5.9.48
15.2.48

~~6-2-48~~

Defd
To ~~11/2/48~~
the Commissioner
Mangalore
Hos. & Charanga
(with a copy of
DPH's letter and p

Ref: L.Dis.2894/48.
Very Urgent.
From

Municipal Office, Mangalore,
dated the 27th February, 48.

ri M.Narayana Shenoy, B.A., B.L.,
Commissioner, Mangalore Municipality, Mangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras.

Thro'

5770 The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mangalore.

Sir,

Licence - Mangalore municipality - Cashew roasting by Cardol
Corporation, Ltd. Ref: Govt.Memo.No.46561-F2/47-4, P.H., dated 7-2-48.

The information required is furnished below:-


- 1) The application for licence for 1947-48 is for roasting cashewnuts with a new machinery and not with the existing one.
- 2) Licence was granted for 1944-45 for roasting cashewnuts in oil. No plant or machinery was being used then.
- 3) The appeal is pending before the Council.
- 4) No application has been received for licence under section 250 of the M.D.M.Act of 1920.

Forwarded

Handwritten signature
Chairman, M. C.

1/28-2-48.comp'd:-

Handwritten signature
Commissioner. 28/2



Licence - Mangalore Municipality - Cashew roasting by Cardol Corporation.

- - -

From the Commr., Mag Mangalore Mty. L.dis.No.2894-48 dated 27-2-48.

- - -

Memo. 46561 F₂⁴⁷ - 5 PH d. 11-3-48.

The Commissioner has stated that the application made by the Cardol Corporation for licence for 1947-48 was for ~~cashew~~ roasting cashewnuts with a new machinery and not with the existing one and that ~~that~~ no application has been received from the party for permission under section 250 of the M.D.M. Act for the installation of the new machinery. He has also stated that licence was granted for 1944-45 only for roasting cashewnuts in oil. He is requested to state whether the licence for the firm for roasting cashewnuts in oil was not renewed for subsequent years i.e. from 1945 to 1947.

2. If the firm had applied for licence for 1947-48 for roasting cashewnuts only with the new machinery (proposed for installation) and not for the renewal of licence for carrying on the usual operations in oil, the question of granting permission under section 250 for the installation of the new machinery by the ~~firm~~^{party} should have been decided in the first instance and before that, the question of issuing an annual licence under section 249 ~~does~~^{did} not arise and there was no need for the Municipal Health Officer to have refused licence under section 249. The Commissioner is requested to clarify the position.

1/ana 2/1507

Depd
13.3.48
S.
8-3-48

Y
C
10-3-48

To
The Commissioner,
Mangalore.
thro' the Chairman.

L.Dis. 4627/48.

Municipal Office, Mangalore,
dated the 12th March 1948.

From

Sri M. Narayana Shenoy, B.A.B.L.,
Commissioner, Mangalore Municipality, Mangalore.

The Secretary to Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department,
Fort St. George, Madras,

Through

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mangalore.

Sir,

Licence - Cashew roasting by Cardol Corporation --
Mangalore.

Ref. Government Memorandum No. 46561 F2/47 - 4 P.H.,
dated the 17th February 48.

20529

Further to this office letter L. Dis. 2894/48 dated
the 27th February 48 I submit that the Municipal council in its
resolution No. 30 dated the 28th February 1948 (copy enclosed)
has accepted the Committee's report recommending the grant of
licence to Messrs. Cardol Corporation for roasting cashewnuts
provided that no irritating smoke nuisance is caused and a
chimney of 50 feet in height is put up.

[Signature]
13/3/48
Commissioner

Forwarded

[Signature]
Chairman, M.C.

h/13-3-48. Encl: 1


Extract from the proceedings of the Mangalore
municipal council, dated the 28th February 48.

Resolution No.30. H2/ 3698 - 47. - C.R.No. 34, dated the
8th November 1947 referring to Public Health Committee, the
appeal petition dated the February 1947 from Messrs Cardol
Corporation against the order of the Municipal Health Officer
in F.Dis. 1994/47 dated the 12th February 47 refusing licence
for roasting cashew nuts in R.S.Nos. 6, 8, 9, and 10, of
Bolor village (Derebail) for 47--48 and the P.H.Committee's
resolution No.6 dated the 21st January 48 recommending the
grant of licence provided that no irritating smoke nuisance
is caused and a chimney of 50' height is put up.

The committee's report is accepted

sd. L.C.Pais
Chairman, M.C.

/True extract/


Commissioner

n/13-3.


19/3

C2/No. 2071/48.

Municipal Office, Mangalore,
dated the 20th April 1948.

From

Sri. M. Narayana Shenoy, B.A.B.L.,
Commissioner, Mangalore Municipality, Mangalore

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Education and Public Health Department, Madras,


Through

The Chairman, Municipal Council, Mangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Licence - Mangalore Municipality -- Cashew
roasting by Cardol Corporation.

Ref. Government Memorandum No. 46561 - F2/47-5 P.H.
dated the 11th March 48.



30218

Licence granted for roasting cashew nuts in oil in the factory premises for the year 1944-45 was not renewed for subsequent years i.e. from 1945 to 1947 as there was no request from the party and it is now stated by them that as the method adopted by them in 1944-45 proved a failure, it had been discarded and hence licence not got renewed.


Having evolved a new type of roasting machinery subsequently, Messrs Cardol Corporation had applied for licence for installing the same in the year 1947--48. In view of the fact that there was a general resolution of the council passed in 1935 that cashew frying on a factory scale within the municipal limits should not be licenced at all the municipal Health Officer had presumably taken for granted that installation applied for is not permitted under section 250 of the District Municipalities Act and licence under section 249 of the Dt. Municipalities Act had accordingly been refused.

On the appeal filed by the Cardol Corporation the matter was taken up before the council and the council in its resolution No.30 dated the 28th February 1948 has approved the grant of licence for roasting operation in this factory on a factory scale provided that no irritating smoke is caused and that a chimney of 50 feet is put up.


There exists a solitary dwelling house within distance of 200 yards of the factory the minimum limit to be maintained between such factories and dwelling houses prescribed in G.O.No. Ms 749 P.H. dated the 24th February 1938 and the Government have been addressed in this office L.Dis. 9978/47 dated the 11th July 1947 through the Director of Public Health for favour of consideration of the question of overlooking the aforesaid minimum clearance for the reason that the factory itself is at a raised level and that no nuisance may be caused if a suitably high chimney is provided.

As explained above the council having now resolved in favour of granting licence for roasting operation in the factory the question of granting licence for the installation of the machinery under section 250 of the District Municipalities Act could now be considered only if Government are pleased to accord sanction for overlooking the minimum clearance of 200 yards prescribed in G.O.No. Ms 749 P.H. dated the 24th Feb.38. I therefore request that the Government may be pleased to


p 21 anti
p 31 anti
pass early orders on the matter according approval for granting licence subject to the condition suggested by the Director of Public Health in his L.Dis. 86724 - 1 Hyg 47 dated the 18th January 48 to Government and the conditions suggested by the council in its council resolution No.30 dated the 28th February 1948. On receipt of orders Messrs Cardol Corporation Ltd will be called upon to apply for licence under section 250 of the Act.


Commissioner

Forwarded


22/4
Chairman, M.C.

Copy to the Municipal Health Officer Mangalore for informat

h/22-4-48. compd. 

no 4665/48 from the Cardol Corporation, Mangalore, pnd

2124 The Ml. Commr, Mangalore, Ldis 9978-47

2144 Lk D P II Ldis 86724-1-Hyg-47 dated 18.1.48

2544 The Ml. Commissioner, Mangalore Ldis 2890-48 dated 27.2.48

2544 Ldis 4627/48 d 12.3.48

2544 Ldis 2071 48 d 20.4.48

Licence Mangalore Municipality
Cashew roasting by Cardol Corporation
permission orders passed
order, 1737 DII of 25/5/48.

The govt have no objection to permission under section 250 of the M.D.M Act being granted by the Mangalore Municipal Council to the Cardol Corporation for the installation of a machine for roasting cashewnuts, provided that the Municipal Council satisfies itself before granting permission that the plans and the machinery are such that the oil fumes would be burnt completely before they are discharged through the chimney and that the building has a chimney of 50 feet height.

action 1 p2103

p4n-f

p4n f

2. The Commissioner, Mangalore Mpty is advised to see that a licence is granted under section 249 of the M.D.M Act for the working of the machine only after the conditions referred to in para 1 above are satisfied and ^{subject also to the condition} ~~provided~~ that the smoke caused by the working of the roaster ~~does not~~ ^{is not} a source of nuisance to the neighbourhood.

p4n-f

for

3. The petitioner is referred to the Commr, Mangalore Municipality for orders on his petition to govt.

Comr. Mangalore
Mpty the
Chairman
DPII
Cardol Corporation, 19.5.48
Kw
21.5.48

Y
21.5.48
aut

C.No.465612/47. From the Proprietor, the Cardol Corporation Ltd., Mangalore,
petition dated 28-5-47.
From the Commr., Mangalore Municipality L.dis.9978-47
dated 11-7-47.
From the D.P.H., L.dis.No.86724-1-Hyg. 47 dated 18-1-48.
- - -

Licence-Mangalore Municipality-Cashew roasting by Cardol Corporation Ltd.
- - -

Please see H.M.'s orders at page 1 c.f.

1 n.p 15 y
2. The Cardol Corporation Ltd. applied to the Mangalore Municipality in February 1947 for a licence for 1947-48 for roasting cashewnuts in their factory. The factory is situated in the new extensions of the town, which were added to the Municipality in 1943. It is ~~mm~~ stated that there was a cashew factory working on the site in question prior to its being added to the Municipality and that licence was granted for it for 1944-45 for roasting cashewnuts.

p 15 y
p 19 y
3. The M.H.O., refused to grant annual licence for 1947-48 under section 249 of the M.D.M., Act in view of the Municipal Council's resolution No.73 dated 29-11-35 and also in view of the factory's proximity to a dwelling house within 200 yards of it. In view of the M.H.O.'s refusal to grant the annual licence under section 249 for the factory, it appears that the request of the firm for permission under section 250 of the M.D.M., Act to instal a roaster was also not considered by the Municipality. The Commissioner's report is not clear on the point.

4. The Cardol Corporation has appealed to the Council against the orders of the M.H.O. refusing licence under section 249 of the M.D.M. Act. Such an appeal lies to the Municipal Council under section 322(i)(c) of the Act. The firm has also submitted a petition to Govt. requesting that necessary orders may be issued for granting them licence for roasting cashewnuts. H.M.(P.H.) has also inspected the factory.

5. The Commissioner has stated that the appeal filed by the firm will be placed before the Council for its decision after it is constituted. He seems to be of opinion that the licence applied for may be granted. The D.P.H. who has seen the working of the pilot plant already installed is of opinion that licence may be granted for the proposed cashewnut roast

subject to certain restrictions. Before proceeding further,
the Commissioner may be asked to furnish the following parti-
culars:-

- (1) whether the application made by the Cardol Corporation
or licence for 1947-48 is for roasting cashewnuts with their
existing machinery;
- (2) whether the firm wants to instal a roaster in addition
to the existing plant; and
- (3) whether the new council which has already come into ex-
istence has decided the appeal filed by the firm against re-
fusal of licence by the M.H.O.,

Per orders. And (4) whether the firm has applied
to the Council for permission
under Sec. 250 and if so, when
has become of it.

Kv.
2.2.48
5.2.48

3/2/48

Yes. ask for a very
urgent repl. Reandhi
a copy of the DPH's
remarks may be sent
the Com for the
information.

epfj
E PH

A draft memo is submitted for approval.

Kv.
5.2.48
5.2.48

6.2.48

Issued as memo L6561/2 - 4 PH dated 7-2-48. - pp 23 24/4
From the Commissioner, Mangalore Mplg Ld
2894 Ld
27-2-48. p 25 of

Please see the note above and the letter
from the Commissioner, Mangalore Mplg Ld p 25 of
From the letter at p 25 of, it is seen
that there has been no machinery
for in the premises of the Cardol
Corporation and that the firm applied

and that licence was granted to the firm for 44-45 for roasting cashewnuts in oil (apparently with reference to item (r) in schedule V to the Act).

But it is not clear from the Commissioner's letter whether licence was renewed to the firm under section 249 for roasting cashewnuts in oil for subsequent years ⁱⁿ from 1944 till 1947. The Commissioner says that the application for licence for 1947-48 was for roasting cashewnuts with a new machinery ^(and that no) ~~but then~~ application was received from the ~~firm~~ ^{party} for permission under section 250 for the installation of the new machinery. If ~~it has been the case that the firm had~~ applied for licence for roasting cashewnuts only with new machinery (proposed for installation) and not ^{for} the renewal of licence under section 249 for carrying on their roasting operations in oil as usual, the question of granting permission under section 250 of the Madras District Municipalities Act for the installation of the new machine ^{have} should ~~be~~ ^{been} decided in the first instance and before that the question of issuing an annual licence under section 249 ~~did~~ ^{did} not ~~at~~ ^{at} all arise and there was no need for the M.H.O. to have refused licence under section 249. A reference may be made to the Commissioner on the point. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

CV.
6.3.48
8.3.48

[Signature]

p27cy - Issued as memo 46561 F₂ 504 dated 11-3-48]
Please see the letter ~~received~~ from the
Commissioner, Mangalore Municipality at p27.31y
~~However~~, This reply to the memo at p27.4 may be
awaited till 10-4-48

KV
20-3-48
5.22.3.48

[Signature]
23.3.48

For P.U.
F₂

For the Commissioner, Mangalore
Municipality C. No. 2071.48 dated
20.4.48

Please see the note from pp 1-3 and ^{Expt II:} F₂
 and the ^(reply) ~~letter~~ from the Commissioner,
 Mangalore at pp 33-34 ^{to the memo on p 27 & 7.} of the DP II p 21 of
 recommends that the licence applied
 for by the Cardol Corporation may be
 granted subject to the conditions
 suggested at p 21 of the M.L. cl. has - p 31 of
 also passed a resolution no 30 dated 25.1.48 p 31 of
 has approved ~~approval~~
 approving the grant of licence for
 working car ~~bus~~ by the Cardol
 Corporation. In the circumstances, the
 govt need not object to the permission
 being granted ^{by the Compt} under section 250 of the M.M.A.
 with the installation of the ~~new~~ machinery by
 the firm. Subject to orders, a draft
 order is submitted. HM(PH) may see.

kv
 29.4.48
 5.1.5.48

I do not think permission under
 § 250 can be granted under the Compt. mentioned
 in the draft. The proper procedure will be to
 get that fact will have no obj. provided, before
 permⁿ is granted, the M.L. Cl. satisfies itself
 that the plan etc. is such that the machinery
 satisfies DMH, and as and as the bus has
 a chimney ~~at~~ 20 ft high height. The Compt and
 he advised to see that a licence is granted under
 § 250 and after the above are satisfied and the
 draft to the Compt (21) (which is the same as
 will be ~~received~~ Compt (21) legal representative and
 legal N

ASSISTANT SECRETARY
 S/E
 10 MAY 1948
 LEGAL DEPARTMENT

Other 4656163
 4

LEGAL DEPARTMENT.

There is no legal objection to the course suggested by J.S., E. & P.H. It may, however, be mentioned that under section 250 (3) (a) of the Act, a municipal council may grant permission applied for subject to such conditions as it thinks fit to impose and so long as the conditions are reasonable and ~~are~~ ancillary to the main purpose, there appears to be no legal objection to the imposition of the same.

25
14/5/48 J. H. M.
S


C. H. M.
S. H.

Received on 11/7/48.

Legal no. 5788/487
15.5.48.

Please see the note at pp 4-5 above. The draft order below is for approval.

H.M. (PH) may see




K. V.
15.5.48
P. 21.5.48

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten signature]

36448

~~7/2~~
25/5



25/5 H.M. (PH)